

# The Core Strategy and Key Signals in Shin Hyun-songs Hawkish Approach to Koreas Exchange Rate and Middle East Crisis Response

Shin Hyun-song, the nominee for Governor of the Bank of Korea, is widely regarded as a pragmatic hawk with a profound understanding of financial crises and the international financial system. Amid the complex external challenges posed by a high exchange rate and the ongoing Middle East crisis, there is growing interest in how the Bank of Korea will navigate these turbulent waters. Given the increasing uncertainty in global financial markets, Shin's international perspective and expertise in financial systems are expected to play a crucial role in maintaining the stability of the Korean economy.

Determining whether Shin Hyun-song embodies a hawkish stance is not straightforward, as central bank policies must adapt flexibly to evolving market conditions and economic realities. Historical precedents, such as the Reserve Bank of Australia shifting from a dovish to a hawkish approach in response to market changes, illustrate this fluidity. Shin's cautious yet practical approach to financial system stability and inflation control suggests that, in the current volatile environment, his policy management will likely be measured and pragmatic rather than rigidly fixed.

Exchange rate stability remains a critical concern for the Korean economy. Sudden fluctuations in the won's value can increase uncertainty not only for export-oriented companies but also for the broader economy. Many exporters emphasize that stability in exchange rates is more valuable than short-term gains from currency movements. This perspective aligns with Korea's structural economic reliance on exports over domestic consumption. Consequently, the Bank of Korea is expected to prioritize both exchange rate and price stability, favoring market stabilization over aggressive currency interventions.

Recent developments such as the Federal Reserve's decision to hold interest rates steady and the escalating Middle East conflict have significantly impacted global financial markets. The surge in international oil prices has intensified inflationary pressures, making interest rate cuts risky as they could further fuel inflation. The 2008 financial crisis offers a cautionary example, where simultaneous oil price spikes and interest rate hikes accelerated economic contraction. In this context, central banks

worldwide are likely to maintain cautious monetary policies to prevent inflation from becoming entrenched.

The geopolitical complexities surrounding the Middle East crisis, including the United States' consideration of easing sanctions on Russia, add layers of uncertainty. These developments increase the likelihood of a protracted Russia-Ukraine conflict and exacerbate instability in energy markets. Meanwhile, Iran's move to incorporate the Chinese yuan in oil transactions poses a potential challenge to the dominance of the U.S. dollar, though it is not yet sufficient to disrupt the global dollar-based financial system. Nonetheless, such shifts contribute to heightened unpredictability in international financial order and energy markets.

Financial market stability is often supported by mechanisms known as "cushions," including the Trump put, Fed put, and Treasury put. Last year, despite limited intervention from the Federal Reserve and Treasury, the Trump put played a notable role in stabilizing markets. However, the current environment—marked by rising oil prices and geopolitical risks—places substantial strain on financial markets, compelling central banks and governments to adopt more cautious policy stances. This has led to increased uncertainty and concern among market participants regarding the future direction of monetary policy.

Consumer price indices continue to exceed 3%, reflecting persistent "sticky inflation," particularly in service sector prices. The rise in international oil prices directly impacts consumer prices through energy costs, thereby exerting upward pressure on interest rates. Under these conditions, the Bank of Korea faces the challenging task of balancing price stability with financial market stability, requiring a nuanced and carefully calibrated policy approach.

The interplay of complex global economic factors and domestic variables has led experts to offer diverse perspectives on the future economic trajectory. Their analyses provide valuable insights for policymakers and market participants alike. To better understand these differing viewpoints, it is instructive to examine the interpretations of three prominent economists, each approaching the current economic landscape from distinct angles.

| Expert | Core Perspective | Keywords | Interpretation Point |
|--------|------------------|----------|----------------------|
|--------|------------------|----------|----------------------|

|                 |                    |   |   |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Ray Dalio       | Economic Cycles    | Cycle inflection point, geopolitical risk, inflation                    | Sees the economy at a critical turning point where geopolitical tensions and inflation pressures increase recession risks, necessitating balanced monetary policy |
| Paul Krugman    | Macroeconomics     | Demand-supply shocks, inflation persistence, growth slowdown            | Identifies a mix of demand and supply shocks causing persistent inflation alongside slowing growth, calling for inflation control while managing recession risks  |
| Milton Friedman | Free Market Theory | Market autonomy, minimal government intervention, policy predictability | Emphasizes market self-correction and the importance of predictable monetary policy, warning that excessive intervention may increase uncertainty                 |

These varied perspectives collectively acknowledge the complexity of current economic challenges while emphasizing different aspects of policy and market dynamics. From the economic cycle viewpoint, geopolitical risks and inflation are pivotal factors influencing a potential downturn, underscoring the need for cautious policy balancing. The macroeconomic perspective highlights the coexistence of inflation persistence and growth deceleration, suggesting that monetary policy must carefully suppress inflation without triggering a recession. Meanwhile, the free market approach advocates for minimal government interference and stresses the importance of clear, predictable

policy signals to maintain market confidence.

This diversity in expert opinion suggests that policymakers must adopt flexible strategies tailored to evolving conditions rather than relying on a single, fixed solution. The inherent tension between controlling inflation and supporting economic growth, compounded by geopolitical uncertainties and volatile financial markets, presents a formidable challenge. Economic actors are therefore encouraged to deepen their understanding of these multifaceted dynamics and closely monitor policy developments and market responses.

Considering these insights, how do you interpret the current economic environment and the direction of policy? Reflecting on these expert analyses may help refine your perspective on the evolving economic landscape.

For a concise review of the key points discussed, the PDF summary provided below can serve as a practical reference for future consultation.

AQUILA INSIGHT

This content is an informational document reconstructed from various materials.

Investment decisions are your own responsibility, and this material is provided for reference only.

© 2026 Aquila Insight. All rights reserved.

Unauthorized reproduction and redistribution are prohibited.

AQUILA INSIGHT